

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/698,969	ZAGOROFF, DIMITER S.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Dennis H. Pedder.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Maraia.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 August 2005
**Time:** afternoon.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*All of record.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Newly cited patent to Rogakos.*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Applicant agreed to amend the claims to define details of the spring to overcome the reference to Rogakos.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)